224 Abstracts

OLE MARTIN SKILLEÅS

Philosophy and Literature

Philosophy and literature is an area of study devoted to both written works of artistic creation and philosophical works, with a view to how both categories can illuminate experience and address human perplexities. This conclusion is reached through a discussion taking an overview of mainly analytic philosophy over the last twenty-odd years, particularly with a perspective on how the initial hostility between philosophy and literature has been overcome. The justification for claiming that philosophy and literature is a viable field of its own can only be found by looking at how both parts of the conjunction makes fruitful use of the other, while also making good the claim that these two pursuits belong together.

Plato is responsible both for philosophy as we know it, and the idea that philosophy and literature should have quarrelled from ancient times. It is shown that this alleged quarrel was Plato's invention, and used to open up for the new discourse of truth-seeking philosophy. Paradoxically, it seems, Plato was a writer who had learnt much from the great tragedians, yet spurned their creations as mere inspiration and without knowledge – as well as dangerous to the souls of the ideal republic. This paradox, however, is only apparent. Any new discourse will have to find converts, and cannot therefore use the as yet unknown means of communication. In short, it will have to use the language of the cave. The purpose of this visit to the past is mainly to show that it is not easy to justify putting the word and between the two opponents of this alleged quarrel. Ever since Plato, philosophy's conception of itself as the discourse of reason has pitted it against the fanciful creations of literary fiction.

Philosophy's conception of itself has been as the discourse of reason, and literature is seen as a vehicle of creative verbal art, where inspiration rather than deductive reasoning is the main dynamics of writing. Analytic philosophy has seen fictional literature as a pack of lies or as pure nonsense. The main use of literary art in analytic philosophy has been as examples of sentences which have no reference, sense or anything else much to recommend them to the discourse of truth. This changed, or changed apparently, with the marrative turns in philosophy in the 1980s. However, this turn failed to take proper account of the discourse of the literary in their literary examples, and may have continued the practice of using literature as mainly a stock of examples, but now with an openly positive attitude.

The main focus of the section Literature as Philosophy is Martha Nussbaum and her claim that moral philosophy needs literature as a way of entering formerly closed perspectives on human life. Nussbaum opened up the interest in literature among philosophers, and she claims that schematic philosopher's examples almost always lack the particularity, the emotive appeal, the absorbing

Abstracts 225

plottedness, the variety and indeterminacy, of good fiction. I discuss her views through the arguments of some of her opponents, including John Horton and Richard A. Posner, as well as the duo Peter Lamarque and Stein Haugom Olsen. Finally in this section, Mark Rowe comes to the rescue to show that literary criticism and philosophy use similar modes of argumentation. This takes us over to the section Philosophy as Literatures, where the main part of the discussion is devoted to how philosophers actually communicate and try to convince readers. Conceptions of philosophy in our day and age seem to take it for granted that philosophy is a stylistically neutral discourse, but in the words of William Righter, the modes of argument and imagination are interdependent. There must be varying circumstances in which intentions, moral or otherwise, choices and decisions, have one or another kind of sense. And it is by altering this picture, by setting different terms and conditions, or telling a different kind of story that arguments become relevant or irrelevant, have force, look foolish—and even, persuade or fail to persuade.

The differences of philosophy and literature, at least arguments to the effect that there is a chasm between these, may rest on a much too narrow conception of how philosophy operates. Daniel Dennett is an advocate of intuition pumps in philosophy, and claims that an intuition pump should be the ideal tool in the philosopher's kit. The crucial point is what philosophy is *for*, and Dennett maintains that it is for enlarging our vision of the possible, for breaking bad habits of thought. Stein Haugom Olsen's sharp division between philosophy and literature when he writes that »literature does not compete with philosophy, nor does it complement it«, is shown to come from a worry that the aesthetic experience of literature has been systematically ignored. What is necessary for »philosophy and literature« as a field of study is that perspectives and traditions from both ends of the spectrum can illuminate each other – and human experience. This can only be demonstrated through the quality of the work produced under this heading.

References

Saint Augustine, Confessions, Harmondsworth 1961.

Wayne C. Booth, Why Banning Ethical Criticism is a Serious Mistake, *Philosophy and Literature* 22 (1998), 366–393.

Noël Carroll, Moderate Moralism, British Journal of Aesthetics 36 (1996), 223–237.

Anthony J. Cascardi (ed.), Literature and the Question of Philosophy, Baltimore 1987.

Stanley Cavell, The Claim of Reason: Wittgenstein, Skepticism, Morality and Tragedy, Oxford 1979.

-, Disowning Knowledge: In Six Plays of Shakespeare, Cambridge 1987.

Arthur C. Danto, Philosophy and/as/of Literature [1984], in: Anthony J. Cascardi (ed.), Literature and the Question of Philosophy, Baltimore 1987, 3–23.

-, The Artworld, in: Peter Lamarque/Stein Haugom Olsen (eds.), Aesthetics and the Philosophy of Art: The Analytic Tradition, Maldon, MA/Oxford 2004, 27–34.

Donald Davidson, A Nice Derangement of Epitaphs, in: Ernest LePore (ed.), *Truth and Interpretation: Perspectives on the Philosophy of Donald Davidson*, Oxford 1986, 433–446.

Daniel C. Dennett, Elbow Room: The Varieties of Free Will Worth Wanting, Oxford 1984.

George Dickie, The New Institutional Theory of Art, in: Peter Lamarque/Stein Haugom Olsen (eds.), *Aesthetics and the Philosophy of Art: The Analytic Tradition*, Maldon, MA/Oxford 2004, 47–54.

G.R.F. Ferrari, Listening to the Cicadas: A Study of Plato's Phaedrus, Cambridge 1987.

Gottlob Frege, On Sense and Reference, in: Peter Geach/Max Black (eds.), *Translations from the Philosophical Writings of Gottlob Frege*, Oxford 1960.

Northrop Frye, Anatomy of Criticism: Four Essays, Princeton NJ 1957.

Hans-Georg Gadamer, Truth and Method, London 21979.

John Gould, Plato and Performance, in: Andrew Barker/Martin Warner (eds.) *The Language of the Cave, Apeiron* Special Issue, Edmonton, Alberta 1992, 13–26.

John Horton, Life, Literature and Ethical Theory, in: John Horton/Andrea T. Baumeister (eds.), *Literature and the Political Imagination*, London 1996, 70–97.

John Horton/Andrea T. Baumeister (eds.), *Literature and the Political Imagination*, London 1996.

Peter Kivy (ed.), The Blackwell Guide to Aesthetics, Maldon, MA 2004.

Peter Lamarque, Tragedy and Moral Value, in Fictional Points of View, Ithaca 1996, 135–149.

Peter Lamarque/Stein Haugom Olsen, Truth, Fiction, and Literature: A Philosophical Perspective, Oxford 1994.

 Philosophy of Literature: Pleasure Restored, in: Peter Kivy (ed.), The Blackwell Guide to Aesthetics, Maldon, MA 2004, 195–214 (Lamarque/Olsen 2004a).

 (eds.), Aesthetics and the Philosophy of Art: The Analytic Tradition, Oxford 2004 (Lamarque/ Olsen 2004b)

Berel Lang, The Anatomy of Philosophical Style: Literary Philosophy and the Philosophy of Literature, Oxford 1990.

Susan B. Levin, The Ancient Quarrel between Philosophy and Literature Revisited: Plato and the Greek Literary Tradition, Oxford 2001.

Jerrold Levinson (ed.), The Oxford Handbook of Aesthetics, Oxford et. al. 2003.

Peter B. Lewis (ed.), Wittgenstein, Aesthetics and Philosophy, Aldershot/Burlington, VT 2004. Alasdair MacIntyre, After Virtue, London 1981.

Susan Mendus, What of the Soul Was Left, I Wonder: The Narrative Self in Political Philosophy, in: John Horton/Andrea T. Baumeister (eds.), *Literature and the Political Imagination*, London 1996, 53–69.

Thomas Nagel, The View from Nowhere, New York 1986.

Martha C. Nussbaum, The Fragility of Goodness: Luck and Ethics in Greek Tragedy and Philosophy, Cambridge 1986.

- -, Love's Knowledge: Essays in Philosophy and Literature, Cambridge 1990.
- -, Poetic Justice: The Literary Imagination and Public Life, Boston 1995.
- Exactly and Responsibly: A Defence of Ethical Criticism, in: *Philosophy and Literature* 22 (1998), 343–365.
- -, Upheavals of Thought, Cambridge 2001.

Stein Haugom Olsen, The Structure of Literary Understanding, Cambridge 1978.

-, The End of Literary Theory, Cambridge 1987.

Plato, Phaedrus, Cambridge 1952.

David Pole, Aesthetics, Form and Emotion, ed. by George Roberts, London 1983.

Richard A. Posner, Against Ethical Criticism, *Philosophy and Literature* 21 (1997), 1–27.

-, Against Ethical Criticism: Part Two, in: Philosophy and Literature 22 (1998), 394-412.

William H. Righter, Some Notes on Acting it out and Being in it up to the nose, in: David Pole, *Aesthetics, Form and Emotion*, ed. by George Roberts, London 1983.

Richard Rorty, Contingency, Irony and Solidarity, Cambridge 1989.

Mark W. Rowe, Criticism without Theory, in: Peter B. Lewis (ed.), Wittgenstein, Aesthetics and Philosophy, Aldershot/Burlington, VT 2004.

Jean-Paul Sartre, Being and Nothingness: An Essay on Phenomenological Ontology, London 2003. Roger Scruton, Gentle Regrets: Thoughts from a Life, London 2005.

Frank Sibley, Aesthetic Concepts, in: John Benson/Betty Redfern/Jeremy Roxbee Cox (eds.), Approach to Aesthetics: Collected Papers on Philosophical Aesthetics, Oxford 2001, 1–23. Ole Martin Skilleås, Philosophy and Literature: An Introduction, Edinburgh 2001.

 Knowledge and Imagination in Fiction and Autobiography, Metaphilosophy 37 (2006), 259–276.

Thomas A. Szlezák, Reading Plato, London 1999.

Charles Taylor, Sources of the Self, Cambridge 1989.

Martin Warner, *Philosophical Finesse: Studies in the Art of Rational Persuasion*, Oxford 1989. Maureen Whitebrook, Taking the Narrative Turn: What the Novel Has to Offer Political Theory, in: John Horton/Andrea T. Baumeister (eds.), *Literature and the Political Imagination*, London 1996, 32–52

John Wisdom, Paradox and Discovery, Oxford 1965.

[1] Martin Warner, Philosophy and Literature: Yesterday, Today and Tomorrow, http://www2.warwick.ac.uk/fac/soc/philosophy/staff/warner/acadpapers/plytt.pdf (24. 5. 2006)

Full-length article in: JLT 1/1 (2007), 45-60.

How to cite this item:

Abstract of: Ole Martin Skilleås, Philosophy and Literature.

In: JLTonline (19.03.2009)

Persistent Identifier: urn:nbn:de:0222-000343

Link: http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:0222-000343