

WILLIAM EGGINTON

Performance and Presence

Telling the story of performance theory in the twentieth century to the present is an enormous undertaking. Insightful research from the fields of theater studies, cultural and literary studies, and anthropology, including such a selective list of influential scholars as Victor Taylor, Richard Schechner, Peggy Phelan and Jill Lane, Michael Taussig, Andrew Parker and Eve Sedgwick, have led to a renewed focus on performance that grants it a specificity above and beyond the mere transmission of a given meaning or message. In this light, performance theory can be grouped with other twentieth-century theoretical innovations such as media theory, new historicism, and science studies, which focus critical attention on the medium or means of transmission of a knowledge that was traditionally regarded as itself the ultimate or even exclusive object of intellectual study. Instead of attempting to relate this history in all its richness here, I will focus on one aspect of performance theory: namely, its crucial relation to the philosophical problem of presence as it developed over the same period of time. This relation will, I hope, itself turn out to be highly relevant to the story as a whole, in that a general sea change in the way presence has been theorized since the early twentieth century has much to say about the importance performance theory has attained today.

In the first part of the paper I analyze the concept of presence in light of its appearance in twentieth century philosophical debates, in particular in Heidegger's discussion in *Being and Time* and Derrida's critique of Heidegger in his own deployment of the term. What is crucial to grasp here is not the specifics of whether Derrida in fact trumped Heidegger on this point, but rather how Derrida focused and perhaps even intensified the rigor of Heidegger's own attunement to the insistence with which the concept of presence infiltrates thought and installs itself in a position of privilege. Derrida's attentiveness to this question throughout his oeuvre, and his subsequent popularity in literary and cultural theoretical circles in the United States and elsewhere, led to a general tendency in the humanities to mistrust the notion of presence in all its forms, although this mistrust was not always accompanied by a particularly thorough philosophical understanding of why presence had attained this pariah status.

Whether under the direct influence of Heidegger and deconstruction or as part of the general zeitgeist that this mode of thought announced, practices in fields as divergent as cultural history, anthropology, sociology, and the newly minted field of media studies underwent a shift of focus from an ultimate meaning underlying artifacts, texts, cultural practices, and mediatic forms of expressions, to the analysis of the media and practices themselves, in their performative and material specificity. It is in such a light that we can best understand the influence of, for example, Clifford Geertz's notion of ›thick description‹ in cultural anthropology, and its deployment in the ›new historical‹ research of literary scholars like Stephen Greenblatt. In a similar vein, Marshall McLuhan's insistence that ›the medium is the message‹ established a new academic discipline that in general vowed to upend a longstanding disregard for the means of a meaning's transmission in cultural theory.

In the context of this overall framework, then, it makes sense to propose a series of parallel binary concepts that underwent this revolution in thought. To the same extent that the signifier began to gain ascendancy over the signified in semiotics; textuality over meaning in literary analysis; indexicality over expression in Derrida's very first deconstructions of the phenomenological tradition; mediality over the presence of the message in media studies; surface over depth in architectural theory; performance, in the case of our theme, began to assert its importance over the presence of an underlying meaning that theater, ritual, and the performances constituting quotidian existence had been presumed to convey.

From this broadly sketched outline of shifts in related disciplines, it is clear that performance and presence occupied opposing poles of a binary that was, during the time in question, brought under examination and in some cases actively subverted. Nevertheless, in current cultural theoretical practice, the concept of presence is no longer exclusively associated with its direct philosophical heritage, and has rather, and very much as a result of the above described revolution, begun to switch sides, and itself signify the very temporal, material modalities that were once subject to its previous avatar. The key figure in this move is, in my mind, Hans Ulrich Gumbrecht, who has for at least the last twenty years developed a notion of presence that we could call post-deconstructive, in that it at one and the same time emerges from the deconstructive de-privileging of being-as-presence, and re-establishes itself as a privileged term of analysis. It does not do so, to be sure, by in any way reversing the work of deconstruction; rather, the concept of presence in Gumbrecht's work and in that of those who have been influenced by him (and I count myself among this group), reasserts itself on *this* side, as it were, of the mediality/presence binary. Presence, in other words, is no longer the ultimate and pre-existing reference to material practices of various sorts, but designates instead the very materiality of those practices themselves. Presence, in the current sense, has become performance.

In the final section of the paper I position Gumbrecht's transvaluation of presence within a general intellectual history of modernity, wherein I locate the leading problem of thought as involving the paradoxical relation between appearances and the truth they veil. This problem, however, has a very specific historical provenance, namely, in the historical Baroque – a period dated by art historians as spanning more or less from the end of the sixteenth to the early part of the eighteenth centuries. European cultural production in this period is endlessly obsessed with the problem of appearances, their potential deceptiveness, and the truths they veil and disclose. The aporia of truth and appearances forces cultural production and thought alike to adopt one of two strategies, what I call major and minor, and it is the oscillation between these that has most determined the conceptual dyad of presence and performance.

References

- John L. Austin, *How to Do Things With Words*, Oxford 1962.
- Judith Butler, *Bodies that Matter: On the Discursive Limits of Sex*, New York 1993.
- Miguel de Cervantes y Saavedra, *Ocho comedias y ocho entremeses*, Madrid 1615.
- Luiz Costa Lima, *Sociedade e discurso ficcional*, Rio de Janeiro 1986.
- Gilles Deleuze, *Le Pli: Leibniz et le baroque*, Paris 1988.
- Gilles Deleuze/Félix Guattari, *Kafka: Pour une Littérature mineure*, Paris 1975.
- Jacques Derrida, La Structure, le signe et le jeu dans le discours des sciences humaines [1966], in: *L'écriture et la différance*, Paris 1967, 409–428 (Derrida 1967a).
- , *La Voix et le phénomène: Introduction au problème du signe dans la phénoménologie de Husserl*, Paris 1967 (Derrida 1967b).

- , *Ousia et grammè*: Note sur une note de *Sein und Zeit* [1968], in: *Marges de la philosophie*, Paris 1972, 31–77.
- Denis Diderot, *Le Paradoxe sur le comédien* [1769], Lille 2000.
- , *Œuvres*, Paris 1951.
- William Egginton, Cervantes, Romantic Irony, and the Making of Reality, in: *MLN* (2002), 1041–1068.
- , *How the World Became a Stage: Presence Theatricality, and the Question of Modernity*, Albany, NY 2003.
- , *Perversity and Ethics*, Stanford 2006.
- William Egginton/David Castillo, The Rules of Chanfalla's Game, in: *Romance Language Annual* (1995), 444–449.
- Catherine Gallagher/Stephen Greenblatt, *Practicing New Historicism*, Chicago 2000.
- Clifford Geertz, *The Interpretation of Cultures*, New York 1973.
- Erving Goffman, *The Presentation of Self in Everyday Life*, Edinburgh 1956.
- Hans Ulrich Gumbrecht, Form Without Matter vs. Form as Event, in: *MLN* (1996), 578–592.
- , *The Production of Presence: What Meaning Cannot Convey*, Stanford 2004.
- , *In Praise of Athletic Beauty*, Cambridge, MA 2006.
- Hans Ulrich Gumbrecht/Karl Ludwig Pfeiffer (eds.), *The Materialities of Communication*, Stanford 1994.
- Martin Heidegger, Die Zeit des Weltbildes [1938], in: *Holzwege*, Frankfurt 1977.
- , *Sein und Zeit* [1927], Tübingen 161986.
- Fredric Jameson, *Postmodernism, or, the Cultural Logic of Late Capitalism*, Durham 1991.
- Immanuel Kant, *Kritik der reinen Vernunft* [1787], Leipzig 1908.
- Søren Kierkegaard, *Fear and Trembling* [1843], Princeton 1983.
- Bruno Latour, *Science in Action: How to Follow Scientists and Engineers Through Society*, Cambridge, MA 1987.
- Félix Lope de Vega y Carpio, *Fuenteovejuna* [1614], Zaragoza 1968.
- , *Lo fingido verdadero* [1608], Rome 1993.
- Jean-François Lyotard, *La Condition postmoderne: Rapport sur le savoir*, Paris 1979.
- José Antonio Maravall, *Teatro y literatura en la sociedad barroca*, Madrid 1972.
- Marshall McLuhan, *Understanding Media: The Extensions of Man*, New York 1964.
- Andrew Parker/Eve Kosofsky Sedgwick (eds.), *Performativity and Performance*, New York 1995.
- Peggy Phelan/Jill Lane (eds.), *The Ends of Performance*, New York 1998.
- Mary Louise Pratt, *Imperial Eyes: Travel Writing and Transculturation*, London/New York 1992.
- James Roose-Evans, *Experimental Theatre from Stanislavsky to Today*, New York 1970.
- Richard Rorty, *Philosophy and the Mirror of Nature*, Princeton 1979.
- Jean Rotrou, *Le véritable Saint Genest* [1646], Paris 1972.
- Richard Schechner, *Performance Theory*, New York 1988.
- Thomas Sheehan, A Paradigm Shift in Heidegger Research, *Continental Philosophy Review* (2001), 1–20.
- Michael Taussig, *Mimesis and Alterity: A Particular History of the Senses*, New York 1993.
- Victor Turner, *From Ritual to Theatre: The Human Seriousness of Play*, New York 1982.

H. Aram Veesser (ed.), *The New Historicism*, New York 1989.

Bernadette Wegenstein, *Getting Under the Skin: Body and Media Theory*, Cambridge, MA 2006.

Slavoj Žižek, *The Fragile Absolute – or, Why Is the Christian Legacy Worth Fighting For?*, London 2000.

[1] Jack E. Marsh Jr., Hegel, Kierkegaard, and the Structure of a Spirit-*full* Self, *Quodlibet Journal* (2003) <<http://www.Quodlibet.net>> (30.03.2006)

[2] René Descartes, *Meditations*, a trilingual HTML edition, ed. by David B. Manley and Charles S. Taylor <<http://www.wright.edu/cola/descartes/>> (30.03.2006)

Full-length article in: JLT 1/1 (2007), 3-18.

How to cite this item:

Abstract of: William Egginton, Performance and Presence, Analysis of a Modern Aporia.

In: JLTonline (19.03.2009)

Persistent Identifier: urn:nbn:de:0222-000326

Link: <http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:0222-000326>