

JONATHAN BIGNELL

Television and the Popular Viewing from the British Perspective

The academic discipline of television studies has been constituted by the claim that television is worth studying because it is popular. Yet this claim has also entailed a need to defend the subject against the triviality that is associated with the television medium because of its very popularity. This article analyses the many attempts in the later twentieth and twenty-first centuries to constitute critical discourses about television as a popular medium. It focuses on how the theoretical currents of Television Studies emerged and changed in the UK, where a disciplinary identity for the subject was founded by borrowing from related disciplines, yet argued for the specificity of the medium as an object of criticism. Eschewing technological determinism, moral pathologization and sterile debates about television's supposed effects, UK writers such as Raymond Williams addressed television as an aspect of culture. Television theory in Britain has been part of, and also separate from, the disciplinary fields of media theory, literary theory and film theory. It has focused its attention on institutions, audio-visual texts, genres, authors and viewers according to the ways that research problems and theoretical inadequacies have emerged over time. But a consistent feature has been the problem of moving from a descriptive discourse to an analytical and evaluative one, and from studies of specific texts, moments and locations of television to larger theories.

By discussing some historically significant critical work about television, the article considers how academic work has constructed relationships between the different kinds of objects of study. The article argues that a fundamental tension between descriptive and politically activist discourses has confused academic writing about ›the popular‹. Television study in Britain arose not to supply graduate professionals to the television industry, nor to perfect the instrumental techniques of allied sectors such as advertising and marketing, but to analyse and critique the medium's aesthetic forms and to evaluate its role in culture. Since television cannot be made by ›the people‹, the empowerment that discourses of television theory and analysis aimed for was focused on disseminating the tools for critique. Recent developments in factual entertainment television (in Britain and elsewhere) have greatly increased the visibility of ›the people‹ in programmes, notably in docusoaps, game shows and other participative formats. This has led to renewed debates about

whether such ›popular‹ programmes appropriately represent ›the people‹ and how factual entertainment that is often despised relates to genres hitherto considered to be of high quality, such as scripted drama and socially-engaged documentary television.

A further aspect of this problem of evaluation is how television globalisation has been addressed, and the example that the issue has crystallised around most is the reality TV contest *Big Brother*. Television theory has been largely based on studying the texts, institutions and audiences of television in the Anglophone world, and thus in specific geographical contexts. The transnational contexts of popular television have been addressed as spaces of contestation, for example between Americanisation and national or regional identities. Commentators have been ambivalent about whether the discipline's role is to celebrate or critique television, and whether to do so within a national, regional or global context. In the discourses of the television industry, ›popular television‹ is a quantitative and comparative measure, and because of the overlap between the programming with the largest audiences and the scheduling of established programme types at the times of day when the largest audiences are available, it has a strong relationship with genre. The measurement of audiences and the design of schedules are carried out in predominantly national contexts, but the article refers to programmes like *Big Brother* that have been broadcast transnationally, and programmes that have been extensively exported, to consider in what ways they too might be called popular.

Strands of work in television studies have at different times attempted to diagnose what is at stake in the most popular programme types, such as reality TV, situation comedy and drama series. This has centred on questions of how aesthetic quality might be discriminated in television programmes, and how quality relates to popularity. The interaction of the designations ›popular‹ and ›quality‹ is exemplified in the ways that critical discourse has addressed US drama series that have been widely exported around the world, and the article shows how the two critical terms are both distinct and interrelated. In this context and in the article as a whole, the aim is not to arrive at a definitive meaning for ›the popular‹ inasmuch as it designates programmes or indeed the medium of television itself. Instead the aim is to show how, in historically and geographically contingent ways, these terms and ideas have been dynamically adopted and contested in order to address a multiple and changing object of analysis.

References

- Barker, Chris, *Television, Globalization and Cultural Identities*, Buckingham 1999.
- BBC, *Producer's Guidelines*, London 2003.
- Bignell, Jonathan, *Big Brother: Reality TV in the 21st Century*, Basingstoke 2005.
- , Citing the Classics: Constructing British Television Drama History in Publishing and Pedagogy, in: Helen Wheatley (ed.), *Re-viewing Television History: Critical Issues in Television Historiography*, London 2007, 27–39.
- , The Police Series, in: John Gibbs/Douglas Pye (ed.), *Close Up 03*, London 2009, 1–66.
- Bignell, Jonathan/Andreas Fickers, Introduction: Comparative European Perspectives on Television History, in: J.B./A.F. (ed.), *A European Television History*, New York 2008, 1–54.
- Bignell, Jonathan/Stephen Lacey/Madeleine Macmurrough-Kavanagh (ed.), *British Television Drama: Past, Present and Future*, Basingstoke 2000.
- Bignell, Jonathan/Stephen Lacey (ed.), *Popular Television Drama: Critical Perspectives*, Manchester 2005.
- Bignell, Jonathan/Andrew O'Day, *Terry Nation*, Manchester 2004.
- Brandt, George (ed.), *British Television Drama*, Cambridge 1981.
- (ed.), *British Television Drama in the 1980s*, Cambridge 1993.
- Brunsdon, Charlotte, What is the Television of Television Studies?, in: Christine Geraghty/David Lusted (ed.), *The Television Studies Book*, London 1998, 95–113.
- , *The Feminist, the Housewife and the Soap Opera*, London, 2000.
- , Is Television Studies History?, *Cinema Journal* 47:3 (2008), 127–137.
- Brunsdon, Charlotte/Julie D'Acci/Lynn Spiegel (ed.), *Feminist Television Criticism: A Reader*, Oxford 1997.
- Buxton, David, *From The Avengers to Miami Vice: Form and Ideology in Television Series*, Manchester 1990.
- Caughie, John, *Television Drama: Realism, Modernism, and British Culture*, Oxford 2000.
- Chapman, James, *Saints & Avengers: British Adventure Series of the 1960s*, London 2002.
- Corner, John, *Studying Media: Problems of Theory and Method*, Edinburgh 1998.
- Curtin, Michael, From Network to Neo-network Audiences, in: Michele Hilmes (ed.), *The Television History Book*, London 2003, 122–125.
- De Bens, Els/Hedwig de Smaele, The Inflow of American Television Fiction on European Broadcasting Channels Revisited, *European Journal of Communication* 16:1 (2001), 51–76.
- Dovey, Jon, *Freakshow: First Person Media and Factual Television*, London 2000.
- Dyer, Richard, *Light Entertainment*, London 1973.
- Eden, Jenny, Caruso Control, *Radio Times* 5–11 August 2006, 11–12.
- Ellis, John, *Visible Fictions: Cinema, Television, Video*, London 1982.
- Feuer, Jane, Quality Drama in the US: The New »Golden Age«?, in: Michele Hilmes (ed.), *The Television History Book*, London 2003, 98–102.
- Frith, Simon, The Black Box: The Value of Television and the Future of Television Research, *Screen* 41:1 (2000), 33–50.
- Gregory, Chris, *Be Seeing You...: Decoding the Prisoner*, Luton 1997.
- Gripsrud, Jostein, Television, Broadcasting, Flow: Key Metaphors in TV Theory, in: Christine Geraghty/David Lusted (ed.), *The Television Studies Book*, London 1997, 17–32.

- Mathijs, Ernest/Janet Jones (ed.), *Big Brother International: Formats, Critics and Publics*, London 2004.
- McCabe Janet/Kim Akass (ed.), *Quality TV: Contemporary American Television and Beyond*, London 2007.
- McLean, Gareth, CSI: Tarantino, *The Guardian New Media*, 11 July 2005, 12.
- McLoone, Martin, Boxed In?: The Aesthetics of Film and Television, in: John Hill/Martin McLoone (ed.), *Big Picture, Small Screen: The Relations between Film and Television*, Luton 1997, 76–106.
- Miller, Toby, *The Avengers*, London 1997.
- Nelson, Robin, *TV Drama in Transition: Forms, Values and Cultural Change*, Basingstoke 1997.
- Newcombe, Horace, *Television: The Most Popular Art*, New York 1974.
- Piper, Helen, Reality TV, *Wife Swap* and the drama of banality, *Screen* 45:4 (2004), 273–286.
- Rixon, Paul, *American Television on British Screens: A Story of Cultural Interaction*, London 2006.
- Schiller, Herbert, *Mass Communications and American Empire*, New York 1969.
- , *Communication and Cultural Domination*, New York 1976.
- Selby, Keith/Ron Cowdery, *How to Study Television*, Basingstoke 1995.
- Sinclair, John/Elizabeth Jacka/Stuart Cunningham, New Patterns in Global Television, in: Paul Marris/Sue Thornham (ed.), *The Media Reader*, Edinburgh 1999, 170–190.
- Thompson, Robert, *Television's Second Golden Age: From Hill Street Blues to ER*, Syracuse 1997.
- Tulloch, John, *Television Drama: Agency, Audience and Myth*, London 1990.
- Williams, Raymond, *Television: Technology and Cultural Form*, London 1974.

Television Programmes

- Avengers, The* (ATV, UK, 1961–69)
- Big Brother* (Endemol Entertainment, Netherlands, 1999–)
- Big Brother Africa* (Endemol Entertainment, South Africa, 2003–)
- CSI: Crime Scene Investigation* (Alliance Atlantis/CBS, USA, 2000–)
- CSI: Miami* (Alliance Atlantis/CBS, USA, 2007–)
- CSI: New York* (Alliance Atlantis/CBS, USA, 2004–)
- Desperate Housewives* (ABC, USA, 2004–)
- ER* (Warner Bros. Television, USA, 1994–2009)
- Fawlty Towers* (BBC, UK, 1975–1979)
- Flying Doctors, The* (Crawford Productions, Australia, 1986–1991)
- Hill Street Blues* (MTM Enterprises, USA, 1981–87)
- Lost* (ABC, USA, 2004–10)
- Neighbours* (Grundy Television, Australia, 1985–)
- NYPD Blue* (Steven Bochco Productions, USA, 1983–2005)
- Our Friends in the North* (BBC, UK, 1996)
- Pop Idol* (Thames Television, UK, 2001–2003)
- Simpsons, The* (Fox Broadcasting, USA, 1989–)
- Strictly Come Dancing* (BBC, UK, 2004–)
- Video Diaries* (BBC, UK, 1990–1993)
- Video Nation* (BBC, UK, 1993–)
- Without a Trace* (CBS, USA, 2002–2009)

Full-length article in: JLT 4/2 (2010), 181-198.

How to cite this item:

Abstract of: Jonathan Bignell, Television and the Popular. Viewing from the British Perspective.

In: JLTonline (24.01.2011)

Persistent Identifier: urn:nbn:de:0222-001460

Link: <http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:0222-001460>