384 Abstracts

## SYBILLE MOSER

## Interpretation in Empirical Studies of Literature and Media

From their beginning in the 19th century literary studies have been rooted in the hermeneutic credo that the investigation of cultural artifacts differs fundamentally from the scientific explanation of natural objects. As a consequence, literary studies have declared the hermeneutic interpretation of single works of fiction and poetry their key agenda. As Jannidis et al. have pointed out, the act of literary ninterpretation aims at the rule-guided reconstruction of the meaning of a text as whole; interpretation cannot be equated to meaning, — which figures as the result of interpretation—, but represents a complex procedure of the nassignment of meaning. Accordingly, in literary studies the term interpretation is embedded into a wide range of theories of semantics, cognition, and communication that define criteria for the assignment of meaning to a literary text.

Moreover, the term interpretation encompasses assumptions about how to theorize and validate phenomena in the fields of semantics, cognition, and communication (methodology and meta-theories respectively). Last, but not least, literary researchers usually formulate texts and use media in order to interpret literary texts, that is, the results of scientific investigation require interpretation in return. Thus, as has been pointed out by constructivist scholars, there is a peculiar »autologic« at work in literary studies and media research respectively. Facing the multi-faceted nature of the phenomenon in question, I will discuss the meaning of »interpretation« in empirical studies of literature and media on three levels, namely the epistemology, object theory, and methodology of interpretation. My argument will unfold as follows:

I will first examine interpretation as an epistemological category that lays ground for non-dualistic positions in empirical studies in literature and media. This examination explores the autologic of observation and deals with the self-description of the research process by means of self-reflection. I will briefly portray the idea of interpretation in constructivist epistemology, where it converges with the idea of "construction" as the complex interplay of natural and cultural operations in a self-organized cognitive system that brings forth the world.

I will then turn to the history of empirical studies of literature and media (ESLM). A short excursion back to the German origins of ESLM in the 1970s will demonstrate that the question of interpretation is inescapably amalgated with the way literary scholars define their object of investigation and, hence, with the way researchers decide on the relevance of research problems and research designs. An exemplary controversy on the theoretical status and necessity of literary interpretation in early ESLM between Norbert Groeben and Siegfried J. Schmidt will serve as a case in point. The shared theoretical core assumption of different

Abstracts 385

positions in ESLM, the functional concept of text, will then pave the way to outline a current model of literary interpretation that draws upon media psychology, empirical aesthetics, and communication theory. In light of this model the assessment of interpretation as »the assignment of meaning« will be explicated as the process of literary reception, which, depending on the underlying theories of cognition and/or communication, encompasses a number of physical, cognitive, emotional, and sociocultural operations. As a particular form of aesthetic knowledge the interpretation of literary texts is realized in different action roles with different degrees of professional expertise.

On a background of the outlined object theory of literary interpretation, I will thirdly substantiate crucial aspects of both non-professional and expert reading through a selection of empirical research results from various disciplines. Studies will be introduced that investigate the selection of reading materials and reading motivation, the perception of poetic text structures and stylistic devices, reading strategies as well as emotional and cognitive effects of reading and social systems of literary evaluation. This exemplary ramble through contemporary empirical research enterprises aims at concretizing the empirical meaning of »interpretation« in empirical studies of literature and media.

In a fourth and last step, I will shortly examine interpretative aspects of empirical research. Taking on a constructivist point of view, I will mark the collection and the analysis of empirical data as an interpretative act, which underlies both qualitative and quantitative research strategies in empirical studies of literature and media. Hence, the last section will close my argument with a feedback loop between epistemology, methodology and object theory.

338 Sibylle Moser

## References

- Els Andringa/Margrit Schreier, How Literature Enters Life: An Introduction, *Poetics Today* 25/2 (2004), 161–169.
- Silvia Bovenschen, Die imaginierte Weiblichkeit. Exemplarische Untersuchungen zu kulturgeschichtlichen und literarischen Präsentationsformen des Weiblichen, Frankfurt/M. 1979.
- Martin Burgert et al., Strukturen deklarativen Wissens Untersuchungen zu "Märchen" und "Krimi", Siegen 1989.
- Michael Charlton/Corinna Pette/Christina Burbaum, Reading Strategies in Everyday Life: Different Ways of Reading a Novel Which Make a Distinction, *Poetics Today* 25/2 (2004), 241–263.
- Ursula Christmann/Margrit Schreier, Kognitionspsychologie der Textverarbeitung und Konsequenzen für die Bedeutungskonstitution literarischer Texte, in: Fotis Jannidis et al. (Eds.), Regeln der Bedeutung. Zur Theorie der Bedeutung literarischer Texte, Berlin/New York 2003, 246–285.
- Wouter de Nooy, A literary playground: literary criticism and balance theory, *Poetics* 26 (1999), 385–404.
- Volker Gehrau, Eine Skizze der Rezeptionsforschung in Deutschland, in: Patrick Rössler/Susanne Kubisch/Volker Gehrau (Eds.), *Empirische Perspektiven der Rezeptionsforschung*, München 2002, 9–47.
- Barbara Graves, The study of literary expertise as a research activity, *Poetics* 23 (1996), 385–403.
- Norbert Groeben, The Function of Interpretation in an Empirical Science of Literature, *Poetics* 12 (1983), 219–238.
- -, Einleitung: Funktionen des Lesens Normen der Gesellschaft, in: Norbert Groeben/Bettina Hurrelman (Eds.), Lesesozialisation in der Mediengesellschaft. Ein Forschungsüberblick, München 2004a, 11–35.
- -, (Lese-)Sozialisation als Ko-Konstruktion Methodisch-methodologische Problem-(Lösungs-)Perspektiven, in: Norbert Groeben/Bettina Hurrelman (Eds.), Lesesozialisation in der Mediengesellschaft. Ein Forschungsüberblick, 2004b, 145–168.
- Norbert Groeben/Margrit Schreier, The hypothesis of the polyvalence convention: A systematic survey of the research development from a historical perspective, *Poetics* 21 (1992), 5–32.
- Jémeljan Hakemulder, Foregrounding and Its Effect on Readers' Perception, *Discourse Processes* 38/2 (2004), 193–218.
- David Ian Hanauer, What we know about reading poetry: Theoretical positions and empirical research, in: Dick Schram/Gerard Steen (Eds.), *The Psychology and Sociology of Literature. In honor of Elrud Ibsch*, Amsterdam/Philadelphia 2001, 107–128.

- Helmut Hauptmeier/Dietrich Meutsch/Reinhold Viehoff, Literary Understanding: From an Empirical Point of View, Siegen 1987.
- Fotis Jannidis et al., Der Bedeutungsbegriff in der Literaturwissenschaft. Eine historische und systematische Skizze, in: Fotis Jannidis et al. (Eds.), *Regeln der Bedeutung. Zur Theorie der Bedeutung literarischer Texte*, Berlin/New York 2003, 3–30.
- Susanne Janssen, Reviewing as a social practice: institutional constraints on critics' attention for contemporary fiction, *Poetics* 24 (1997), 275–297.
- Walther Kindt/Siegfried J. Schmidt (Eds.), Interpretationsanalysen. Argumentationsstrukturen in literaturwissenschaftlichen Interpretationen, München 1976.
- Don Kuiken/David S. Miall/Shelley Sikora, Forms of Self-Implication in Literary Reading, *Poetics Today* 25/2 (2004), 171–204.
- Wiebke Loosen, Konstruktive Prozesse bei der Analyse von (Medien-)Inhalten. Inhaltsanalyse im Kontext qualitativer, quantitativer und hermeneutischer Verfahren, in: Sibylle Moser (Ed.), Konstruktivisch Forschen. Methodologie, Methoden, Beispiele, Wiesbaden 2004, 93–120.
- Dietrich Meutsch, *Literatur verstehen. Eine empirische Studie*, Braunschweig/Wiesbaden 1987. David S. Miall, Empirical Approaches to Literary Readers, *Book History* 9 (2006), 291–311. Sibylle Moser, *Komplexe Konstruktionen. Systemtheorie*, *Konstruktivismus und empirische Literaturwissenschaft*, Wiesbaden 2001.
- -, Hermeneutic Cybernetics. A Constructivist Methodology for the Empirical Study of Literature, SPIEL. Siegener Periodicum zur Internationalen Empirischen Literaturwissenschaft 21/2 (2002), 327–352.
- -, Empirische Theorien, in: Martin Sexl (Ed.), Einführung in die Literaturtheorie, Wien/München 2004, 223–256.
- –, Media modes of poetic reception. Reading lyrics versus listening to songs, *Poetics* 35 (2007), 277 – 300.
- Alexander Riegler, Editorial. The Constructivist Challenge, *Constructivist Foundations* 1/1 (2005), 1–8.
- Siegfried J. Schmidt, Foundation for the Empirical Study of Literature. The components of a basic theory. Authorized translation from the German and fully revised by Robert de Beaugrande, Hamburg 1982.
- –, Kognitive Autonomie und soziale Orientierung. Konstruktivistische Bemerkungen zum Zusammenhang von Kognition, Kommunikation, Medien und Kultur, Frankfurt/M. 1994.
- -, Kalte Faszination. Medien, Kultur, Wissenschaft in der Mediengesellschaft, Weilerswist 2000.
- Siegfried J. Schmidt/Norbert Groeben, How to Do Thoughts With Words: On Understanding Literature, in: Dietrich Meutsch/Reinhold Viehoff (Eds.), *Comprehension of literary discourse. Results and problems of interdisciplinary approaches*, de Gruyter 1989, 16–46.
- Margrit Schreier, Computergestützte Analyse qualitativer Daten und ihre Anwendung in der empirischen Literaturwissenschaft, Siegener Periodicum zur Internationalen Empirischen Literaturwissenschaft 15/2 (1996), 202–211.
- -, Methods and Methodology for the empirical study of literature, Frame 14/2 3 (2000), 5 27.
- Michael Stadler/Wolfgang Wildgen, Ordnungsbildung beim Verstehen und bei der Reproduktion von Texten, Siegener Periodicum zur Internationalen Empirischen Literaturwissenschaft, 6/1 (1987), 101–144.

340 Sibylle Moser

- Gerard Steen, A Historical View of Empirical Poetics: Trends and Possibilities, *Empirical Studies of the Arts. Journal of the International Association of Empirical Aesthetics* 23/1 (2003), 51–67.
- Gerard Steen/Dick Schram, The empirical study of literature. Psychology, sociology, and other disciplines, in: Dick Schram/Gerard Steen (Eds.), *The Psychology and Sociology of Literature. In honor of Elrud Ibsch*, Amsterdam/Philadelphia 2001, 1–16.
- Peter Vorderer/Holger Schramm, Medienrezeption, in: Gebhard Rusch (Ed.), Einführung in die Medienwissenschaft. Konzeptionen, Theorien, Methoden, Anwendungen, 2002, 118–134.
- Simone Winko, Verstehen literarischer Texte versus literarisches Verstehen von Texten? Zur Relevanz kognitionspsychologischer Verstehensforschung für das hermeneutische Paradigma der Literaturwissenschaft, *Deutsche Vierteljahresschrift für Literaturwissenschaft und Geistesgeschichte* 1, Heft 1 (1995), 1–27.
- [1] Nigel Fielding/Margrit Schreier (2001), Introduction: On the Compatibility between Qualitative and Quantitative Methods [54 paragraphs], Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung / Forum Qualitative Research [On-line Journal], 2 (1) .<a href="http://qualitative-research.net/fqs/fqs-eng.htm">http://qualitative-research.net/fqs/fqs-eng.htm</a> (31.7. 2001)
- [2] Sibylle Moser, Hermeneutics Meets Cybernetics. Constructivist Arguments for the Integration of Qualitative and Quantitative Research in Empirical Studies of Literature, Proceedings of the VIII. Congress of the International Society for the Study of Literature and Media (IGEL), Pécs 21.–24.8.2002,
  - <a href="http://www.arts.ualberta.ca/igel/IGEL2002/Proceedings.htm">http://www.arts.ualberta.ca/igel/IGEL2002/Proceedings.htm</a> (30.11.2007)

Full-length article in: JLT 2/2 (2008), 343-362.

## How to cite this item:

Abstract of: Sibylle Moser, Interpretation in Empirical Studies of Literature and Media.

In: JLTonline (16.09.2009)

Persistent Identifier: urn:nbn:de:0222-000813

Link: http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:0222-000813